

**REMARKS**

In the parent application, the Examiner required restriction under 35 U.S.C. § 121 between the following patentably distinct categories:

Group I (claims 1-9, drawn to a compound of formula I wherein in formula II, both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are nitrogen);

Group II (claims 1-9, drawn to a compound of formula I wherein in formula II, either  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  is nitrogen, the other carbon); and

Group III (claims 1-9, drawn to a compound of formula I wherein in formula II, both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are carbon).

Applicants elected to prosecute Group III (claims 1-9, drawn to a compound of formula I wherein in formula II, both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are carbon) with traverse in the parent application. In this divisional application, Applicants have amended claims 1 and 2 by adding the phrase "but  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are not both carbon," thereby excluding the possibility that  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  are both carbon. As a result of the amendment,  $X_1$  or  $X_2$  can both be nitrogen,  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  can be carbon and nitrogen, respectively, or  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  can be nitrogen and carbon, respectively.

Applicants await an examination on the merits and respectfully request the timely allowance of claims 1-9.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Statement, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account No. 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,  
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: August 6, 2003

By: 

Aaron M. Raphael  
Reg. No. 47,885